

| | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 09/765606 | Applicant(s) NOJIRI, et al. |
| | Examiner A. Berman | Art Unit 1617 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alysia Berman
(2) Minna Hoezie (SPE)

(3) Richard Chinn
(4) Ko Azckura

Date of Interview: 4/4/02

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1+2

Identification of prior art discussed: As of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Counsel discussed unexpected results of Table 1 at page 11 of the specification to overcome obviousness. Prior art references not anticipatory because they do not contain a composition containing all components claimed. Data of Table 1 supports unexpected results for specific components listed, but does not support the scope of the claims. Evidence showing expectation of similar results for the genus would be considered.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Alysia B
Examiner's signature, if required